

Title: GLOBALG.A.P. INSP	: GLOBALG.A.P. INSPECTION REPORT FOR OPT. 1 IND. AND OPT.1 WITHOUT QMS						
<b>Written by</b>	<b>Reviewed by</b>	Approved by		ode LC-FR-027 <b>–</b>			
ANA PAULA BROTO	KASSIA COUTO	ENRIQUE URRUTIA		Rev.# 002			

Client Details								
Process # (LLC #):	1576							
GGN #:	4052852532921							
Company Name:	High Hope Farm	High Hope Farms LLC						
Company Address (for the certificate):	121 w. Clark Stre	121 w. Clark Street Quincy, FL. 32351						
GPS Coordinates:	Latitude (00° 00' 00")	30°35'09.1		⊠ North ☐ South				
or o coordinates.	Longitude (000° 00' 00")	84°34'44.7"		⊠ West ☐ East				
Client Contact name:	Budd Titlow							
Client Email & Phone:	919-886-0330 bt	itlow@aol.cor	n					
Producer participated in the Unannounced Reward Program:	YES NO	)						
Producer makes use of a consultant:	YES NO	- If <b>yes</b> , con	nplete the following:					
The consultant is a licensed GGAP farm assurer:	licensed GGAP farm							
Accounts Payable name and email:	Graves Williams	graveswilliam	ns@tds.net 850-875-	1440				
Billing Address (if different than company address):	P.O. Box 1018, 0	Quincy, FL 32	353					
	Aı	udit Schen	пе &Туре					
☐ IFA FV 5.0 ☐ I	FA FV 5.1	PSS	Toma	to Metrics				
IFA Combinable Crops		Other:						
Individual N	fulti-site without Q	MS						
Initial Recertification	on Unannoui	nced R	tevisit Extensi	on of Scope Other:				
		Audit De	etails					
Auditor / Audit Team:	Nathaniel Betand	court	Audit date(s):	6/6,8/18				
Number of sites (PMU's):	9 production sites	S	Number of sites (PMU's) inspected:	9				
Products in the scope:								
Harvest Applicable:	YES NO	– If <b>yes</b> , con	nplete the following:					
Products applicable for harvest:	Tomatoes Satsui	mas	Products     observed in     harvest:	Tomatoes				



<ul> <li>Harvest season per product:</li> </ul>	Late may early June Tomatoes, November- December for Satsumas							
<ul> <li>Any products grouped for harvest:</li> </ul>	YES NO – If <b>yes</b> , explain which products were grouped and reasons for this grouping:  Satsumas and tomatoes are both high risk commodities hand harvested.							
Product packed in-field:	YES NO – If yes, p	oroducts names:						
Produce handling applicable:	YES NO – If <b>yes</b> , o	complete the following:						
<ul> <li>Number of Facilities (PHU's):</li> </ul>	2	<ul><li>Number of facilities inspected:</li></ul>	2					
<ul> <li>Products for produce handling:</li> </ul>	Tomatoes and satsumas							
Facility(s) in operation:	YES NO – If <b>yes</b> , o	complete the following:						
<ul> <li>Products observed in the facility:</li> </ul>	Tomatoes							
• Facility(s) GFSI certified:		complete the following:						
- Facility name / Certification Scheme:	The facilities are certified through these global gap recertifications  - Products included in the GFSI certificate:							
Covered Crop (greenhouse):	YES NO – If yes, p	products:						
Rotation Crops:	YES NO – If yes, o	complete the following:						
First Harvest:	YES NO – If <b>yes</b> , p	provide crops:						
Further Harvest:	YES NO – If <b>yes</b> , p	provide crops:						
Combined inspection with other Standard or Add-on: (e.g. Costco, GRASP, PGFS)	YES NO – If <b>yes</b> , p	provide Standard or Add	-on name:					
	Meeting	Attendees						
Name	Role / Position	Opening Meeting						
Nathaniel Betancourt	Inspector	X	X					
Budd Titlow	Food Safety Auditor Coordinator	X	X					
	Executive	Summary						

## Executive Summary

This is an inspection covering two facilities and nine production sites. During the inspection we reviewed risk assessments and policies. We then proceeded to inspecting Gadsden Tomato Company, followed by the production sites, ending with the inspection of Quincy Tomato Corporation.

Gadsden Tomato Company is the larger facility out of the two. The facility was in full production during the audit. Receiving, packing, storing, creation of packaging material, and other activities were inspected. Key personal in the roles previously stated were interviewed, including the security guard and others. The drench tank was tested for PH and free chlorine during the inspection.

All nine production sites were inspected. Only three of the sites were in their growing season during the inspection. Willis Farm, Grant Farm, and Fowlstown. The other four sites had green manure cover crops over the tomato fields or were in preparation for planting tomatoes.



Fowlstown was harvesting during the inspection. Crews were observed eating out of areas of production. Tomatoes that are too ripe for harvesting and packing in the facility are sold prior to harvest, making harvest not applicable for those plants. This only occurs when the tomatoes are too ripe—since they are too ripe, harvested by the buyer, and taken out of the field by the purchasers' trucks, there is no risk of mixing the presold tomatoes with the tomatoes that are sent to the facility.

Wells are utilized for application water and ponds are utilized for drip irrigation. Irrigators were observed eating lunch out of areas of production. Harvest workers were observed harvesting in the fields and interviewed. Crew supervisors have first aid kits and water for the workers. Satsumas are under this legal entity, however for marketing purposes there are a different site identified as North Florida Satsumas. Control points related to compliance were evaluated except for and pre harvest intervals MRL results have not been taken at this time and certification for this scope will be pending until MRL results are submitted.

Quincy Tomato Corporation was inspected on Friday 6/8/18. The inspection went very similar to Gadsden Tomato Company. The main differences in the process was that Quincy is an older building, smaller line, and a different variety as well as satsumas. The packing process for Satsumas is the same as the Plum tomatoes that was observed during the audit.

I would also like to point out that Graves Williams, the president of these facilities and company, was very keen on food safety. Even though he had these facilities to run and these businesses, he took several moments out of his day to check up on the audit, see how we were doing on the process, if I had any questions, and he even took the time to sit down and discuss their operation with me. I found that to be an excellent example of how even though they are busy running this company, they still make time to put food safety first.

During the inspections there was two minors and six major NC's raised.

On Behalf of WQS I would like to thank High Hope Farms for their participation in these good agricultural practices.

(	FACILITY (PHU) AND SITE (PMU) DETAILS (Please add tables/ lines for additional sites / products as needed):					
Facility name - PHU:	Quincy Tomato Corporation					
Physical Address:	20 N. Graves Street Quincy, Fl. 32351					
Produce Handling Products:	Tomatoes satsumas					
Parallel Ownership:	Yes No If <b>yes</b> , please, include the product (s) where P/O is applicable:					
Facility name - PHU:	Gadsden Tomato Company					
Physical Address:	218 N. Graves Street Quincy, FL. 32351					
Produce Handling Products:	Tomatoes					
Parallel Ownership:	Yes No If <b>yes</b> , please, include the product (s) where P/O is applicable:					
Site - PMU:	North Florida Satsumas-Grant Farm					
Address:	435 Grice Quincy, FI 32352					
Parallel Production applicable:	Yes No If <b>yes</b> , please, include the product names:					



Harvest Included:	Yes No	
To Certify:	Acre Hectare	Countries of Destination:
Satsumas	100	U.S
Site - PMU:	North Florida Satsumas-Hand Farm	
Address:	1244 Newberry Rd. Quincy, FL 32352	
Parallel Production applicable:	Yes No If <b>yes</b> , please, include th	e product varieties:
Harvest Included:	Yes No	
To Certify:	Acre Hectare	Countries of Destination:
Satsumas	50	U.S
Site - PMU:	High Hope Farms-Grant Farm	
Address:	435 Grice Quincy, FI 32352	
Parallel Production applicable:	Yes No If <b>yes</b> , please, include th	e product names:
Harvest Included:	Yes No	
To Certify:	Acre Hectare	Countries of Destination:
Tomatoes	90	U.S
Site - PMU:	High Hope Farms-Hand Farm	
Address:	1244 Newberry Rd. Quincy, FL 32352	
Parallel Production applicable:	Yes No If <b>yes</b> , please, include th	e product varieties:
Harvest Included:	Yes No	
To Certify:	Acre Hectare	Countries of Destination:
Tomatoes	90	U.S
Site - PMU:	Willis Farm	
Address:	Millwhite Rd. Attapulgus, GA 39815	
Parallel Production applicable:	Yes No If <b>yes</b> , please, include th	e product names:
Harvest Included:	Yes No	
To Certify:	Acre Hectare	Countries of Destination:
Tomatoes	250	U.S



Site - PMU:	Johnson Farm						
Address:	Emanuel Church Road Fowlstown, GA 39852						
Parallel Production applicable:	Yes No If <b>yes</b> , please, include the product names:						
Harvest Included:	Yes No	X Yes No					
To Certify:	Acre Hectare	Countries of Destination:					
Tomatoes	100	U.S					
Site - PMU:	Fowlstown Farm						
Address:	3408 Fowlstown Road Fowlstown, GA 3981	19					
Parallel Production applicable:	Yes No If <b>yes</b> , please, include th	e product varieties:					
Harvest Included:	Yes No						
To Certify:	Acre Hectare	Countries of Destination:					
Tomatoes	90	U.S					
Site - PMU:	Gardner Farm						
Address:	1727 Faceville-Attapulgus Road Bainbridge, GA 39819						
Parallel Production applicable:	Yes No If <b>yes</b> , please, include th	e product varieties:					
Harvest Included:	Yes No						
To Certify:	Acre Hectare	Countries of Destination:					
Tomatoes	40	U.S					
Site - PMU:	Cooter Farm						
Address:	253 Betts Mill Road Bainbridge, GA 39819						
Parallel Production applicable:	Yes No If <b>yes</b> , please, include th	e product varieties:					
Harvest Included:	Yes No						
To Certify:	Acre Hectare	Countries of Destination:					
Tomatoes	65	U.S					
_							
Pending:	Please, indicate further action / evidence	required per product:					







Products: Satsumas.	Harvest inspection  MRL test results  Produce Handling  Other:
	ZITTII (pre narvest intervals)
	rding the use of WQS and/or Accreditation Body Licenses, Certificates and k here and describe below the findings:
	Grant / maintain (re)certification;
Auditor / Inspector Recommendation*	Grant / maintain (re)certification after correction of nonconformances and send of objective evidences to close-out Major CARs & 95% of Minor CARs;
	Grant (re)certification after correction of nonconformances and a revisit to close-out Major CARs & 95% of Minor CARs;
	(Re)certification NOT recommended. A full new audit must be performed;
	Apply the following sanction:
	Other (e.g. revisits to see harvest):
*Complete the Summary of NCs and	provide a copy to client during closing meeting.

Note: The GlobalG.A.P. checklist and the summary of NCs are part of this inspection report.



Title	Doc. Issue Date: 09/29/2017			
<b>Written by</b> ANA PAULA BROTO	<b>Reviewed by</b> KASSIA COUTO	<b>Approved by</b> ENRIQUE URRUTIA	Doc. Cod	e LC-FR-025 <b>– Rev.#</b> 001

Company Information:						
Company name:	High Hope Farms	Process #:	1576			
Certification scheme:	GG opt 1 Multisite w/out QMS	Audit date(s):	6/6,8/18			
Auditor / Audit team / Experts:	Nathaniel Betancourt	Audit duration:	15 hours			
Audit Scope:	Tomatoes satsumas two facilities					

Guidelines for Auditors and Inspectors:	Guidelines for Clients:
Auditor must complete this form even when no NC has been raised;	If you cannot comply with the close out date, please contact WQS Quality Dept. via email
Once form is completed, auditor must sign and have client sign it. Leave a signed copy	for an extension which may be granted on a case by case basis - quality@wqscert.com;
with the client at closing meeting, and send one to WQS via email;	For PrimusGFS, submit the corrective actions (CAs) through Azzule database;
Send the word format of this document to clients via email, EXCEPT for PrimusGFS and	• For GlobalGAP, provide corrective actions evidences and complete the column of
IFS:	Corrective Action on this form. When completed, please email them to your auditor with
- For PrimusGFS, NCs must be closed in Azzule;	copy to globalgap@wqscert.com;
- For IFS, NCs must be reviewed in the IFS action plan template.	For SQF, provide all corrective action evidences and complete the action plan template
	provided by WQS (NOT this form). When completed, please email them to your auditor
	with copy to sqf@wqscert.com;
	For BRC, provide all corrective action evidences and complete the following columns
	on this form: Correction, Root Cause Analysis and Corrective Action. When completed,
	please email them to your auditor with copy to <a href="mailto:brc@wqscert.com">brc@wqscert.com</a> ;
	• For IFS, provide all corrective action evidences and complete the action plan template
	provided by the auditor (NOT this form). When completed, please email them to your
	auditor with copy to brc@wqscert.com.

## **Relevant Definitions:**

- > Correction: Immediate action to eliminate the problem;
- > Root cause: The underlying cause of the problem which, if adequately addressed, will prevent a recurrence of that problem. Examples of methods of root cause analysis: the "5 Whys"; Fishbone/Ishikawa Diagrams;
- > Corrective action / proposed action plan: action taken to eliminate the root cause of the problem.



## **Next Steps:**

After your audit/inspection is closed, you will be requested to close the deviations according to the Scheme requirements. Once your corrections and/or corrective actions are reviewed by WQS, your process will go through technical review, process review & certification decision. The auditor will provide you a recommendation but the final decision will be taken by WQS Quality Department.

The number, type, and closure dates of any nonconformance raised are as follows:

Clause #	Level / Grade	Nonconformance's Description	Due Date	Correction (to be completed by the client)	Root Cause Analysis (to be completed by the client)	Corrective Action Details / Explanation (to be completed by the client)	Are the actions taken effective? (to be completed by WQS / auditor)	Closure Date and Responsible (to be completed by WQS / auditor)
AF 4.2.1	Minor	Currently lacking to have the trainer on harvest hygiene training documents. The Tractor training provided for the tractor drivers lacked to have tractors included as a training covered.	7/2/18	The tractor driver training document was amended to properly show all training topics covered.				
AF 8.1	Major	Complaint procedures are lacking to include the notification of the secretariat via the certification body under the following conditions: the producer is informed by a local or competent authority that he/she is under investigation or a sanction has been placed on the scope of the product.	7/2/18	SOP's 102-1 and 102-2 have been revised to include the required language specified under this NC and contained in AF 8.1 (see Attachments A-1 and A-2).	New SOP 102-2 was just created for the 2018 Internal Audit and – therefore – has never been reviewed by an auditor.	SOP's 102-1 and 102-2 have been permanently revised (see Attachments A-1 and A-2) and entered into the Food Safety Library maintained in the Budd Office (121 West Clark Street, Quincy, Florida).	Approved: SOP 102-2 the new complaint procedures includes the notification of the CB	6/18/18 NJB
CB 5.3.2	Minor	Physical risks were lacking to be evaluated in the irrigation risk assessment reviewed by Budd on 5/30/18	7/2/18	Text disclaiming the need for testing the physical and chemical risks of irrigation water on the farms has been added to the Irrigation Water Risk Assessment (see Attachment B).	Sources of irrigation water on all farms have never demonstrated any risks associated with physical and chemical parameters.	Text disclaiming the need for evaluating/testing for physical or chemical risks of irrigation water used on the farms has been permanently added to the Irrigation Water Risk Assessment maintained in the Budd	Approved: risk assessment evaluates chemical and physical risks	NJB 6/18/18

Doc. Code LC-FR-025 - Rev.# 001



						Office (121 West Clark Street, Quincy, Florida) (see <b>Attachment B</b> ).		
CB 7.3.3	Minor	Justification for applications were not properly identified, example, application on 3/28/18 was marked with a justification of bacterial use rather then a miticide (it was a miticide per the authorizing party)	7/2/18	A column on the Pesticide Application Log (F-1012-1) has been revised from "Justification for Use" to "Justification for Use (Target Pest(s))" (see Attachment C - HHF for an example).	The Pesticide Application Log (F- 1012-1) did not specify enough detail describing why a particular PPP was being applied.	The Pesticide Log Form (F-1012-1) has been permanently undated and templates are on file in the Food Safety Library maintained in the Budd Office (121 West Clark Street, Quincy, Florida). Actual Pesticide Application Logs (i.e., PPP application records) are prepared and kept in the Farm Headquarters Office on the Grant Farm.	Approved: per new ppp usage reports form and usage reports	NJB 6/18/18
CB 7.3.7	Minor	Lacking to include the weather on the application	7/2/18	The Pesticide Application Log (F- 1012-1) has been revised to include an extra column for "Weather Conditions" (see Attachment C - HHF for an example).	The Pesticide Application Log (F- 1012-1) did not include information describing the weather conditions on the date of application.	The Pesticide Log Form (F-1012-1) has been permanently undated and templates are on file in the Food Safety Library maintained in the Budd Office (121 West Clark Street, Quincy, Florida). Actual Pesticide Application Logs (i.e., PPP application records) are prepared and kept in the Farm Headquarters Office on the Grant Farm.	Approved: per new ppp usage reports form and usage reports	NJB 6/18/18



CB 7.6.4	Major	Lacking any evidence of 2018 MRL testing being performed	7/2/18	2018 MRL tests – for both tomatoes - are now being conducted by Waters Agricultural Laboratories, Inc. in Camilla, Georgia.	Both the fall 2017 crops of tomatoes and satsumas were failures and, accordingly, no MRL testing was performed for these crops. Also the State of Florida is no longer conducting MRL tests for farmers in the state, ending more than 10 years of having this service provided for High Hope Farms.	As soon as they are received, we will submit the results of this MRL testing for tomatoes to WQS (certification body). This will complete our response to this issue.	Approved: tomatoes were sampled on 6/14/18 nothing was detected	NJB 6/26/18
CB 7.7.8	Minor	Lacking secondary containment for liquid PPP	7/2/18	As finances allow, High Hope Farms LLC intends to continue adding plastic, secondary containment palettes to its pesticide sheds.	Not enough plastic containment palettes have been purchased to provide secondary containment for all of the liquid PPP's in the pesticide sheds.	As finances allow, High Hope Farms LLC intends to continue adding plastic, secondary containment palettes to its pesticide sheds.	Denied: secondary containment is still not provided for all ppp per information provided	
CB 7.9.2	Minor	Empty herbicide drum was cut up and utilized as a cover for a motor. Herbicide label was still attached	7/2/18	The empty herbicide drum covering the motor has been removed and properly disposed of (see Attachment D).	Misunderstanding about the re-use of empty PPP containers, even if the re-use is clearly labeled on the container.	Empty PPP containers will not be re-used for any purpose. All empty PP containers will be thoroughly rinsed/drained, triple punctured, and then burned.	Approved: The one case of reuse was corrected attachment	
And was us to								

Add more lines if needed





Is a revisit required? No Yes, explain why and scope:
For GLOBALG.A.P. inspections, did you group products for harvest?   No   Yes, explain which products were grouped and reasons for this grouping:
Tomatoes and satsumas are grouped for harvest as a high-risk commodity hand-picked. Both have PHU performed in the same facility and the process is the same. The auditor feels comfortable grouping satsumas with tomatoes for produce handling.
Additional comments (describe any discrepancy from what was planned for this audit, e.g. client declines Costco):

Client Signature\*: Budd Titlow, Food Safety Consultant for High Hope Farms LLC

Auditor Signature:

\*By signing this document, you understand and acknowledge the nonconformances raised by the auditor/inspector. Acknowledgement does not necessarily mean acceptance. If you do not agree with any or all NCs raised you have the right to complain. Please email your formal complaint to WQS Quality Dept. as soon as possible (Recommendation: 05 days after the audit) - quality@wqscert.com. WQS procedure for Complaints and Appeals is available at <a href="http://wqscert.com/wqscert/en/conteudo/visualizar/codconteudo/9/appeals-complaints.html">http://wqscert.com